



STAGE A. CHECK FOR COMPLETENESS AND ELIGIBILITY					
PROGRAMME: Outcome: Output: CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: SUGGESTED PROJECT TITLE:		English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding WATER MANAGEMENT Status of water bodies improved Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported Output_1_2_01			
CRITERIA		STAGE A. COMPLETENESS AND ELIGIBILITY CHECKLIST			
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value		Remarks
1	Project compatibility check	The funding proposal submission date is within the deadline fixed in the call for proposals	YES		
			NO		
2	Project promoter falling within the scope of the call for proposals	It is examined whether the project promoter submitting the proposal falls within the categories of project promoters set out in the call	YES		
			NO		
3	Partners falling within the call	It is examined if the partners fall within the categories specified in the call. Not applicable in case there are no partners.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
4	Project promoter's competence for the project implementation	It is examined if the project promoter submitting the proposal is competent to execute the project. The check is based on the documentation data (e.g regulatory decisions, articles of association of the bodies involved etc) being attached upon submitting the request and specified in the call for proposals	YES		
			NO		
5,1	Cooperation agreement for project implementation	It is examined if the proposal includes a draft cooperation agreement or a letter of intent, pursuant to article 7.7 of the Regulation. Not applicable in case there are no partners.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
5,2	Cooperation agreement for Bilateral cooperation	It is examined if the proposal includes a draft cooperation agreement or a letter of intent, pursuant to article 7.7 of the Regulation for bilateral actions. Not applicable in case there are no proposals for bilateral relations.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
6	Formal completeness of the submitted proposal	The proposal is signed by the project promoter's legal representative	YES		
			NO		
		The data specified in the call were submitted (such as studies, administrative acts etc)	YES		
			NO		
		The requested budget is within the limits fixed in the call for proposals	YES		
			NO		
7	Implementation period within the eligibility period of the call for proposals	It is examined if the implementation period of the suggested project falls within the programme's eligibility period, unless a different deadline is set in the call for proposals	YES		
			NO		
8	Non overlapping of the funds	It is examined (project promoter's certificate included in the Technical Bulletin) if it is ensured that grants will not be awarded to finance twice the same expenditure from other Programmes, financial instruments or/and national resources	YES		
			NO		
9	Submission of decisions by competent or collective bodies of the beneficiary or other competent bodies	It is examined if decisions by competent or collective bodies of the project promoter or other competent bodies are submitted, as stipulated by the legislation in effect.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
10	Admissibility of the application	The proposal refers to projects that are included in the Measures of River Basins Management Plans; in particular, the proposal should correspond to: - Projects of the Key Type Measure (KTM) 14 "Research, improvement of the knowledge base and reduction of uncertainty" or/and - Projects of the Supplementary Measure "Research, development and demonstration projects", as mentioned in the "Programmes of basic and supplementary measures" of the 1st Revision of the River Basins Management Plans for the 14 River Basin Districts (RBD) of Greece (except for pilot implementation measures of precision agriculture).	YES		River Basins Management Plans Measure(s) Code:
			NO		
STAGE A CRITERIA FULFILMENT		POSITIVE EVALUATION REQUIREMENT: The Project should be awarded a positive value 'YES' or 'Not Applicable' in all criteria.	The project proceeds to the Stage B' evaluation The project is rejected		
	Date				SIGNATURES

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA				
PROGRAMME: Outcome: Output: CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE:		English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding WATER MANAGEMENT Status of water bodies improved Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported Output_1_2_01		
CRITERIA		STAGE B1 COMPLETENESS AND CLARITY OF THE PROPOSAL'S CONTENT		
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value	Remarks
1.1	Completeness and clarity of the suggested project	It regards: a) the basic technical, operational and other characteristics, b) the effectiveness and suitability of the implementation methodology and analysis of the project's implementation or of its individual subprojects, any required actions, time sequence of the actions), c) the presentation of the project's deliverables, d) the publicity/communication of the suggested project (suitability of communication actions, of similar extent like the suggested action), e) the Project implementation feasibility	YES NO	
1.2	Completeness and clarity of the bilateral cooperation	In the context of bilateral relations development, eligible are: (a) Any activities aimed at strengthening the bilateral relations between the Donor countries and Greece (b) Any actions pertaining to cooperation with partners from the Donor Countries for drawing up and submitting a proposal, further to this call for proposals (the eligible amount for this category of action shall not exceed € 2.000,00 per submitted proposal) (c)Networking, exchanges, exchange and transfer of knowledge, technology, experiences and best practices between bodies in Greece and bodies in the Donor countries or/and international organizations.	YES NO Not applicable	
2.1	Realism regarding the suggested project budget	The elements to be evaluated are: a) how complete the suggested budget is (it is examined if it includes all the necessary costs for the physical object/deliverables implementation), b) whether the costing of the suggested project is reasonable, c) the sound budget allocation to the individual operations/types of expenditure and the reasonable budget allocation to the operations/types of expenditure in relation to the suggested physical object/deliverables, the compliance with the national eligibility rules and any specific terms of the call for proposals in order to avoid non necessary or non eligible costs.	YES NO	
2.2	Realism regarding the suggested budget for bilateral cooperation	The elements to be evaluated are: a) how complete the suggested budget is (it is examined if it includes all the necessary costs for the physical object, b) whether the costing of the suggested actions is reasonable, c) the sound budget allocation to the individual operations/types of expenditure and the reasonable budget allocation to the operations/types of expenditure in relation to the suggested physical object, the compliance with the eligibility rules and any specific terms of the call for proposals in order to avoid non necessary or non eligible costs.	YES NO Not applicable	
3.1	Realism of the project completion timetable	The project completion is examined in relation to: a) the physical object, b) the selected implementation method c) any contingent risks associated with the project implementation or probable delays on the issue of regulatory decisions required for the project implementation, d) the project's maturity level.	YES NO	
3.2	Realism of the bilateral cooperation completion timetable	The completion of the actions is examined in relation to: a) the physical object, b) the selected implementation method c) any contingent risks associated with the implementation, d) the actions's maturity level.	YES NO Not applicable	
STAGE B1 CRITERIA FULFILLMENT		POSITIVE EVALUATION REQUIREMENT: The Project should be awarded a positive value 'YES' or 'Not Applicable' in all criteria.		The project proceeds to the Stage B2 evaluation The project is rejected

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME: English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding
Outcome: WATER MANAGEMENT
Output: Status of water bodies improved
CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported
 Output_1_2_01

CRITERIA		STAGE B2 ADHERENCE TO PRINCIPLES, INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND INTEGRATION OF HORIZONTAL POLICIES			
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value		Remarks
4	Promotion of EEA FM 2014-2021 implementation principles	It is examined if the suggested project does not breach the principles of respect to human integrity, freedom, democracy, equality, rule of law and respect of human rights, including the rights of people belonging to minorities.	YES		
			NO		
5	Promotion of sound governance principles	Governance of participation, without exclusions, responsible, transparent, rewarding, efficient and effective, showing zero tolerance to corruption.	YES		
			NO		
6	Promotion of sustainable development	It is examined if the suggested project is aligned with sustainable development, long-term economic growth, social cohesion and environmental protection	YES		
			NO		
7	Promotion of gender equality and non discrimination	It is examined if the suggested project does not infringe the gender equality principles and if it wards off discrimination on the ground of sex, racial or ethnic origin, religion or belief, disability, age or sexual orientation.	YES		
			NO		
8	Safeguard accessibility of people with disability	It is examined how the project ensures the accessibility of people with disability, in accordance with the applicable institutional framework.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
9	Compliance with the rules of public contracts, studies, public procurement and services	It is examined if the suggested institutional framework of subprojects' implementation is aligned with the national, EU law & the EEA FM 2014-2021 institutional framework.	YES		
			NO		

STAGE B2 CRITERIA FULFILLMENT	POSITIVE EVALUATION REQUIREMENT: The Project should be awarded a positive value 'YES' or 'Not Applicable' in all criteria.	The project proceeds to the Stage B3 evaluation
		The project is rejected

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME: English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding
Outcome: WATER MANAGEMENT
Output: Status of water bodies improved
CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported
 Output_1_2_01

CRITERIA		STAGE B3 PROJECT FEASIBILITY			
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value		Remarks
10,1	Project contribution to the programme's indicators	The project's contribution to the programme's indicators is evaluated	YES		
			NO		
10,2	Project contribution to the bilateral cooperation indicator	The subproject's contribution to the bilateral cooperation indicator CR012	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable		
11	Viability, Functionality, Utilization	The beneficiary should describe how the suggested project's deliverables will be utilized. An explanation is required on the way the project's outcomes will be capitalized	YES		
			NO		

STAGE B3 CRITERIA FULFILLMENT	POSITIVE EVALUATION REQUIREMENT: The Project should be awarded a positive value 'YES' or 'Not Applicable' in all criteria.	The project proceeds to the Stage B4 evaluation
		The project is rejected

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

PROGRAMME: English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding
WATER MANAGEMENT
Outcome: Status of water bodies improved
Output: Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported
CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: Output_1_2_01

CRITERIA		STAGE B4 PROPOSAL MATURITY & PROJECT PROMOTER MANAGING CAPACITY			
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value		Remarks
12	Progress of the project's required maturity acts	The project's maturity degree , according to the call for proposal, is examined with respect to the evolution of the necessary preparatory acts(existing studies-surveys related to the physical object, required licensing-approvals for the Project implementation, preliminary cooperation agreements etc)	YES		
			NO		
13	Progress rate of administrative or other acts	The progress rate, according to the call of proposals, of specific administrative or other acts, beyond the ones examined in the context of the above criterion, being necessary for the suggested project implementation (e.g. Approval by boards etc) is examined.	YES		
			NO		
14	Administrative capacity	It is examined whether the potential project promoter has the organizational structure and the necessary procedures to implement the suggested project.	YES		
			NO		
15	Operational capacity	The following criteria are applied for its examination: a. Past experience of the project promoter in implementing similar projects availability /sufficient staff (project team), i.e the number and qualifications (education – professional experience) of the executives to be employed in the project implementation.	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable.		
16	Financial capacity	The project promoter's capacity is examined to contribute to the suggested project implementation with own resources, if required	YES		
			NO		
			Not applicable.		

STAGE B4 CRITERIA FULFILLMENT	POSITIVE EVALUATION REQUIREMENT:	The project proceeds to the Stage B5 evaluation
	The Project should be awarded a positive value 'YES' or 'Not Applicable' in all criteria.	The project is rejected

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME:

English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding

PRIORITY:

WATER MANAGEMENT

SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE:

Status of water bodies improved

CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE:

Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported

Output_1_2_01

CRITERIA		STAGE B5 PROJECT SCORING				
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value	Weighting factor	Scoring	Remarks
17	Measure (s) performance rate	The performance rate of measures included in the proposal, as mentioned in the River Basins Management Plans (the criterion value is the sum of the performance rate of all measures included in the proposal)		40%		
18	Proposal's cost effectiveness	It is given by the cost effectiveness formula =1.000.000 * (Performance rate / Proposal's total budget (performance rate: Value of the above criterion)		20%		
19	Delimitation of proposal's measures	More than 60% of the budget regards measures for:	Islands: 10	15%		
			Coastal Areas with a poor water condition: 7			
			Other area: 2			
20	Innovation	Does the proposal's approach include innovative elements?	Yes: 10	10%		
			NO: 2			
21	Measures' completion of River Basins Management Plans	Upon the proposal's implementation, do all* measures for research, development and promotion of the River Basins Management Plans get completed? * Excluding the precision agriculture measures	Yes: 10	5%		
			NO: 6			
22	Partner from Donor countries	A partner from Donor countries is involved in the project implementation	Yes: 10	10%		
			NO: 0			
			Total Scoring:			

PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

PROGRAMME: English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding
Outcome: WATER MANAGEMENT
Output: Status of water bodies improved
CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported
SUGGESTED PROJECT TITLE: Output_1_2_01

S/N	Group of criteria	Value/Scoring	Total Scoring:
B1	PROPOSAL'S CONTENT COMPLETENESS AND CLARITY	YES/NO	YES
B2	ADHERENCE TO PRINCIPLES, INSTITUTIONAL FRAMEWORK AND INTEGRATION OF HORIZONTAL POLICIES	YES/NO	YES
B3	PROJECT FEASIBILITY	YES/NO	YES
B4	PROPOSAL MATURITY & PROJECT PROMOTER MANAGING CAPACITY	YES/NO	YES
B5	PROJECT SCORING		

REMARKS: (Any changes suggested by the evaluator are filled in regarding individual parts of the submitted proposal being a prerequisite for this scoring performance)

	Date		SIGNATURES

STAGE B. PROPOSAL EVALUATION PER GROUP OF CRITERIA

OPERATIONAL PROGRAMME: English translation for informational purposes. The text in Greek is the only legally binding
PRIORITY: ΔΙΑΧΕΙΡΙΣΗ ΥΔΑΤΩΝ
 Status of water bodies improved
SPECIFIC OBJECTIVE: Specific measures related to Greece's Programme of Measures supported
CALL FOR PROPOSALS CODE: Output_1_2_01
SCORING EXAMPLE: A project proposal regarding only measure M14Σ1603 of the River Basins Management Plan for the River Basin District (RBD) of Aegean Islands (EL 14) with a proposal budget at €150.000, without elements of innovation nor partner from a Donor State

CRITERIA		STAGE B5 PROJECT SCORING				
S/N	Criterion description	Criterion specification	Value	Weighting factor	Scoring	Remarks
17	Measure (s) performance rate	The performance rate of measures included in the proposal, as mentioned in the River Basins Management Plans (the criterion value is the sum of the performance rate of all measures included in the proposal)	2.63	40%	1.05	
18	Proposal's cost effectiveness	It is given by the cost effectiveness formula =1.000.000 * (Performance rate / Proposal's total budget) (performance rate: value of the above criterion)	=(2,63/150000)*1000000	20%	3.51	
19	Delimitation of proposal's measures	More than 60% of the budget regards measures:	Islands: 10	15%	1.5	
			Coastal Areas with a poor water condition: 7			
			Other area: 2			
20	Innovation	Does the proposal's approach include innovative elements?	Yes: 10	10%	0.2	
			NO: 2			
21	Completion of River Basins Management Plans measures	Upon the proposal's implementation, do all* measures for research, development and promotion of the River Basins Management Plans get completed? * Excluding the precision agriculture measures	Yes: 10	5%	0.3	
			NO: 6			
22	Partner from Donor countries	A partner from Donor countries is involved in the project implementation	Yes: 10	10%	0	
			NO: 0			
			Total Scoring:	6.56		